1	JAMES McMANIS (40958) SHARON KIRSCH (157157) MATTHEW SCHECHTER (212003) McMANIS FAULKNER A Professional Corporation 50 West San Fernando Street, 10th Floor San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: 408-279-8700 Facsimile: 408-279-3244 Email: skirsch@mcmanislaw.com		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	mschechter@mcmanislaw.com		
7	Attorneys for Defendant, SUTTER'S PLACE, INC. dba BAY 101		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION		
10			
11	CUC DANG,	Case No. 10-CV-02181 (RMW) (PSG)	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR INDEPENDENT MENTAL	
13	vs.	EXAMINATION OF PLAINTIFF	
14	CUTTED'S DI ACE ING Jhe DAY 101 en	[Fed. R. Civ. P. 35]	
15	SUTTER'S PLACE, INC. dba BAY 101 or BAY 101 CASINO, et. al.,		
16	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: May 20, 2010	
17			
18			
19			
20	Plaintiff, Cuc Dang ("Dang"), and defendant, Sutter's Place, Inc. dba Bay 101 ("Bay		
21	101") (collectively "the parties"), by and through their respective attorneys of record, hereby		
22	stipulate to the following with respect to the time, place, manner, conditions, examiner and scope		
23	of examination for an independent mental examination of Dang:		
24	1. Dang has placed her mental condition in controversy. In her First Amended		
25	Complaint ("FAC"), Dang alleges that as a result "of the harassment and unfair treatment she		
26	suffered [at Bay 101], plaintiff became severely distressed and depressed[,] was forced to		
27	seek medical treatment for the severe stress and depression, and was placed on medical disability		
28	for several weeks." (FAC, \P 26). Dang further alleges that "[a]s a direct, foreseeable, and		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR IND CASE NO. 10-CV-02181 (RMW) (PSG)	EPENDENT MENTAL EXAM OF PLAINTIFF;	

28 ///

and Neurology.

27

- 7. The scope of Dr. Lipian's examination shall be limited as set forth in Paragraphs 7, 8 and 9 below. Dr. Lipian will conduct a forensic psychiatric evaluation of Dang so as to evaluate the nature and extent of Dang's mental and emotional distress if any, and the cause(s) thereof, together with whether Dang's past experiences or psychological conditions provide an explanation for Dang's perception of disputed fact allegations or an explanation for the mental and emotional distress she claims to have suffered. Dr. Lipian will conduct a standard forensic psychiatric examination which consists of a one-day interview with Dang where he will ask Dang general open-ended questions about her medical and mental history and the possible sources of any alleged emotional trauma.
- 8. Dr. Lipian's exam will include a review of Dang's medical and mental health history. Dr. Lipian must determine, for example, whether Dang suffered from prior traumas (in the form of injuries, diseases, or life events) that may bear on her current mental state. He must determine whether Dang is taking, or has taken, any medications that might have produced relevant side effects. He must determine how Dang has coped with any significant stressors in the past and whether and to what extent her current condition differs from past stressors; and he must determine whether Dang suffers from a psychotic and/or personality disorder, its extent and prognosis, that may bear upon Dang's mental state and capacity at the time of events at issue and its possible impact upon those events, as well as upon her present mental state and capacity. Dr. Lipian must also determine the interrelationship, if any, between psychiatric condition and physical complaints; and which, if either, preceded, caused, underlay, or resulted from the other in this case. From a psychiatric standpoint, all of these areas of inquiry relate to Dang's mental condition.
- 9. Dr. Lipian does not intend to administer any "paper and pencil" tests to Dang, but reserves the right to do so if indicated by initial results of the examination. He will not administer any physically painful tests to Dang or perform any invasive procedures. He plans to conduct an interview consisting of open-ended questions about the subjects listed above. If Dang inadvertently reveals any protected attorney-client communications during the course of the examination, such disclosures will not be deemed as a waiver of the attorney-client privilege.

Case 5:10-cv-02181-RMW Document 64 Filed 12/12/11 Page 4 of 5

1	10.	Dang hereby designate	s the audio recording of the IME and Dr. Lipian's Rule
2	35(b) report as "Confidential" pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order. Bay 101 does not		
3	waive its right to challenge the "Confidential" designation as permitted by Section 6 of the		
4	Stipulated Protective Order.		
5	11.	A copy of the audio rec	cording shall be provided to Dang's counsel within fifteen
6	(15) days of the examination. A copy of Dr. Lipian's Rule 35(b) report shall be provided to		
7	Dang's counsel within five (5) days of its receipt by Bay 101's counsel.		
8	12.	Dr. Lipian has been pro	ovided with the Protective Order for this litigation and has
9	signed the Ack	cnowledgment and Agre	eement To Be Bound by said Protective Order.
10			
11	IT IS S	SO STIPULATED.	
12			
13	[In accordance with General Order 45.X.B., Matthew Schechter, counsel for defendant, attests		
14	that plaintiff's counsel, Ann Nguyen, has concurred in this filing.]		
15			
16			
17	Dated: Decem	ber 9, 2011	McMANIS FAULKNER
18			
19			<u>/s/ Matthew Schechter</u> MATTHEW SCHECHTER
20			
21			Attorneys for Defendant SUTTER'S PLACE, INC. dba BAY 101
22			
23	Dated: Decem	ber 9, 2011	ROBINSON & WOOD, INC.
24			/a/ Ann A Navyyan
25			/s/ Ann A. Nguyen ANN A. NGUYEN
26			Attorneys for Plaintiff,
27			CUC DANG
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR INDEPENDENT MENTAL EXAM OF PLAINTIFF;		
	CASE NO. 10-CV-02181 (RMW) (PSG)		

ORDER Good cause appearing therefor, an independent mental exam of Cuc Dang may be conducted by Dr. Mark Lipian, with the assistance of a certified Vietnamese interpreter, on Tuesday, January 24, 2012, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at 50 California Street, Suite 1500, San Francisco, California 94111, according to the manner, conditions and scope of examination as set forth in the above stipulation. 12/12/2011 Dated: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR INDEPENDENT MENTAL EXAM OF PLAINTIFF; CASE NO. 10-CV-02181 (RMW) (PSG)